

Brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) begin to recover in a formerly highly acidified lake in southernmost Norway

TRYGVE HESTHAGEN¹, BJØRN O. ROSSELAND^{2*}, RICHARD F. WRIGHT², RANDI SAKSGÅRD¹

¹Norwegian Institute for Nature Research (E-mail: trygve.hesthagen@nina.no),

²Norwegian Institute for Water Research. *Present address: Norwegian University of Life Sciences.

Introduction

In Norway, acidified surface waters have shown substantial recovery during the last 20-30 years, with increasing pH and acid neutralizing capacity (ANC) and lower concentrations of labile Al. It has previously been shown that brown trout have started to recover in less acidified regions, i.e. in southwestern and western Norway. Heretofore there have been only scattered reports of recovery of fish populations in our most acidified areas. Here we report that brown trout are starting to recover in a formerly highly acidified unlimed lake in southernmost Norway, Lake Saudlandsvatn. Brown trout is the only species of fish in the lake, and no stocking takes place.

Water quality

The long-term monitoring record for Birkenes in the same region shows a 70% reduction in sulphur deposition in the period between 1980 and 2003 (Figure 1). Sulphate (SO₄) concentrations in Lake Saudlandsvatn showed a similar and parallel decrease with little or no time lag. During the 1970s and early 1980s, the lake was highly acid, with pH < 5.0. Both pH and ANC in the lake remained low until the 1990s and only then increased significantly. ANC reached positive values in 2001. The lag time between the decline in SO₄ and increase in pH and ANC was about 5-10 years.

Fish abundance in the lake vs. water quality

The population of brown trout in Lake Saudlandsvatn was sampled with benthic gill nets every second year from 1977 to 2003. In the 1970s and 1980s the lake supported a relatively dense population, followed by a gradual decline (Figure 2). In the late 1990s the population started to recover, and by 2003 it had reached a density higher than recorded in the late 1970s. This high catch in 2003, however, was mainly due to one strong year class (1+) which comprised 67% of the total.

CPUE correlated significantly with ANC and labile Al, but not with pH (Figure 3). Catches of age 1+ fish also correlated with ANC, based on water chemistry in their year of hatching (Figure 4). The response was highly significant when ANC rose to 20 µeq L⁻¹.

Fish abundance in inlet and outlet stream vs. water quality

Near-failure of recruitment or low fry densities were registered in most years before 1995 (Figure 2). ANC correlated significantly with fry densities in both inlet and outlet streams (Figure 5). Recruitment failure or low fry densities was evident in several years at negative ANC values, while fry abundance increased significantly when ANC increased to 20-30 µeq L⁻¹, especially in the inlet stream.




Figure 3. Catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) of brown trout in Lake Saudlandsvatn vs. pH, ANC and labile Al. Labile Al have only been analysed after 1995.




Figure 4. Catches of age 1+ brown trout (CPUE-1+) in Lake Saudlandsvatn vs. ANC.




Figure 1. Sulphur deposition and SO₄, ANC and pH in lake water at Lake Saudlandsvatn sampled in the autumn between 1974 and 2004. Desposition data from NILU (www.nilu.no).




Figure 2. Catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE per 100 m²) of brown trout in Lake Saudlandsvatn (1977-2003), and densities of fry (age 0+) in inlet and outlet stream (1986-2003). In 1994 and 1996, no streams were sampled for fish (*).




Figure 5. Densities of brown trout fry in the inlet and outlet stream of Lake Saudlandsvatn vs. ANC.

Conclusion

* Both pH and ANC in the lake remained low until the 1990s, and only then increased significantly; the lag time between decline in SO₄ and increase in pH and ANC was about 5-10 years. ANC reached positive values in 2001.

*The brown trout population responded significantly when ANC reached 20-30 µeq L⁻¹.

* Catches of brown trout are now (2003) at a similar level as prior to acidification. However, this is mainly due to the large numbers of one year-class, i.e. age 1+ fish (67%). Thus, full recovery with natural representation of all yearclasses expected for this trout population, may still take many years (see: "The FIB model", Rosseland *et al.* this conference).